2020 MIAC MEN'S HOCKEY PLAYOFFS

Quarterfinals: February 27, 2020 | High-Seed Host

Semifinals: February 29, 2020 | High-Seed Host

Championship: March 7, 2020 | High-Seed Host

INFORMATION

Standings Schedule/Results Statistics Playoff Preview Playoff History Record Book Athletes of the Week Awards

2020 MIAC MEN'S HOCKEY PLAYOFF SCHEDULE

Quarterfinals | Thursday, Feb. 27, 2020

No. 4 St. Thomas 3, No. 5 Gustavus 2 | Box Score | Recap |

Semifinals | Saturday, Feb. 29, 2020

No. 4 St. Thomas 4, No. 1 Saint John's 1 | Box Score | Recap | No. 2 Concordia 3, No. 3 Augsburg 2 | Box Score | Recap |

Championship | Saturday, Mar. 7, 2020

No. 4 St. Thomas 3, No. 2 Concordia 2 | Box Score | Recap |

TICKET PRICES

MIAC Admission

\$10 - General Admission \$2 - Students with ID Free - Children 5 and under *MIAC passes accepted

PARTICIPANTS



No. 1 Saint John's

Johnnies

Roster Schedule/Results Statistics



No. 2 Concordia

Cobbers

Roster Schedule/Results Statistics



No. 3 Augsburg

Auggies

Roster Schedule/Results Statistics



No. 5 Gustavus

Golden Gusties

Roster Schedule/Results Statistics




No. 4 St. Thomas

Tommies

Roster Schedule/Results Statistics

SCHEDULE

DATE	HOME	SCORE	AWAY	SCORE	TIME	LINKS
FEBRUARY						
Thu., Feb. 27	St. Thomas	3	Gusta	avus % 2	Final	Recap Box Score
Sat., Feb. 29	Saint John's	1	St. Th	nomas % 4	Final	Recap Box Score
	Concordia	3	Augs	burg % 2	Final	Recap Recap Box Score Photos Video



% Post season



TIEBREAKERS

MIAC Men's Hockey Policies

For top five teams and placing the top five. Based only on conference competition.

- 1. Head to head winning percentage amongst tied teams.
- 2. The number of wins earned during that season's conference play.
- 3. Winning percentage in conference play.
- 4. Winning percentage against teams beginning in rank order (highest ranked teams to lowest) ^
- 5. Total goal differential, with those tied.
- 6. Goal differential for total conference season.
- 7. Number of overtime losses.
- 8. Random Selection.

^Rank order comparison: When arriving at another pair of tied teams while comparing records, use each team's record against the collective tied teams as a group (prior to their own tie-breaking procedure), rather than the performance against the individual tied teams. (Example: Team A and Team B tie for fourth place. Team C and Team D tie for second place. The Team C-D tiebreaker is settled first using tiebreaker criteria. If the Team A-B tiebreaker reaches the second-place team(s) in Criteria No. 3, Team A and Bs record will be compared collectively against Teams C and D, not individually against the winner of the C-D tiebreaker.